Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/045,903	GOLDSTEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Hall {Reg. No. 39,209}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2005</u>	Time: <u>1:43pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
·		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Lankton .		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made, and a voice mail message left, to Applicants' representative on Wednesday, March 16, 2005 @1:43pm to discuss 35 USC 112 issues. However, at the time of the attached Office Action, no return phone call had been made to the Examiner.